(a)
$$PSI = 267$$

$$I = \frac{I_{MI} - I_{LO}}{BP_{MI} - BP_{LO}} (C_P - BP_{LO}) + I_{LO}$$

$$267 = \frac{300 - 200}{250 - 150} (C_P - 150) + 200$$

$$C_P = 217 \mu g/m^3$$

$$VR = 2.9286 km$$

$$bext = 3.9 \div (2.9286 \times 10^{-5})$$

$$= 1331.7 km^{-1}$$

b) The nose is unable to effectively filter out particle matter the size of 2.5um. Hence a significant fraction of PM2.5 is deposited in the lungs instead of the nose, which will cause significant respiratory issues. Children and the elderly are more susceptible to respiratory problems and hence are at greater risk of health concern from PM2.5.

- 2a) Wind speed: 2mls -D weaker mixing in air, more stable

 Exposure height: 2m -D wind velocity lower near the ground.

 Effective Stack height: 10m -D lower mixing height.

 Stability class: F -D stable atmosphere, weak mixing.
- b) Q = 75mg/S U = 2m/S, H = 10m Z = 2m Stability class: F $\chi_{=300m}$ $\sigma_y = 0.067(300)^{0.90} = 11.36$ $\sigma_z = 0.057(300)^{0.80} = 5.46$

Assume reflection on the ground.

CONC PM₂₋₅ =
$$0.8 \times 41.49 \, \text{Mg/m}^3$$

= $33.19 \, \text{Mg/m}^3 > 25 \, \text{Mg/m}^3$ (higher than NEA ambient air quality)

$$CONC PM_{10} = 0.2 \times 41.49 \text{ mg/m}^3$$

= 8.30 \text{mg/m}^3

- c) Assumptions:
 - 1. Conservative pollutant: no loss due to chemical decay and reactions
 - 2. Homogenous turbulence (mixing)
 - 3. constant rate of emission, Q

3a) Mass of sulfuv =
$$5 \times 10^{5} \text{ kg/hr} \times 2.5\%$$

$$= (2500 \text{ kg/hr})$$

$$= (2500 \times 10^{3}) \times 10^{3} \times$$

Mass of (a co3 needed =
$$\frac{2.5 \times 10^{7} \times 0.95}{64} \times 100$$

= $3.711 \times 10^{7} g/hr$
= $3.711 \times 10^{4} \times g/hr$
Excess = $3.92 \times 10^{4} - 3.711 \times 10^{4}$
= $2.09 \times 10^{3} \times g/hr$

- b) 3 Alternatives:
- 1. Lime Wet Scrubbing: Ca (OH)2 is more chemically reactive than limestone.
- 2. Dual Alkali Processes: Na, CO3 is soluble in water, soluble deposits are formed in scrubber
- 3. Lime-Spray Drying: No corrosion and scaling, no waste product.
- 4a) Large: 20% Medium: 70%, Small: 10%
 Let initial mass of all particles be M

After cyclone #1 & # 2

Penetration.

$$\angle : \left[\frac{1}{3} (0.1) + \frac{2}{3} (0.05) \right] \times 0.2m = 0.0133m$$

$$M : \left[\frac{1}{3} (0.35) + \frac{2}{3} (0.25) \right] \times 0.7m = 0.2833m$$

$$S : \left[\frac{1}{3} (0.8) + \frac{2}{3} (0.6) \right] \times 0.1m = 0.0667m$$

= . Overall removal efficiency of 2 cyclones = $\frac{m-0.3633m}{m}$ = 63.67%

New mass offere
$$ESP = 0.3633m$$
 penetration
New mass after $ESP = 0.3633m \times (1-0.76)$
= 0.0872m

Let Large, Medium, small ESP removal efficiency be x, y, z respectively.

$$x = 0.9868 = 99\%$$

y:
$$0.2833 \text{m} \times (1-\text{y}) = 0.0872 \text{m} (0.595)$$

 $y = 0.8168 = 82\%$

$$2: 0.0667m(1-2) = 0.0872m(0.403)$$

 $2 = 0.4731 = 47\%$

Arra of
$$S$$
 (ompartments: $|12 \times 5 \times 4m^2 = 2240m^2$) $Q = 2400m^3/\text{min}$. $V_s = \frac{Q}{A}$ $m = M \cdot C \cdot Q \cdot t$

$$V_s = \frac{-\Delta P}{M \times \Delta x/k}$$
 $\Delta x = \frac{M}{JA}$

$$\Delta \chi = \frac{0.99 \times 309 / \text{m}^3 \times 2400 \,\text{m}^3 / \text{m} / 1000 \times 600 \,\text{m}}{0.5 \times 2000 \,\text{kg/m}^3 \times 000 \times 2240 \,\text{m}^2}$$

$$= 0.00 (7357 \,\text{m})$$

$$\frac{2400 \, \text{m}^{3} \, / \text{min} = 60}{2240 \, \text{m}^{2}} = \frac{-750 \, \text{kg/(m·s}^{2})}{1.8 \, \text{xvo}^{-5} \, \text{kg/m·s} \times \frac{0.0017357 \, \text{m}}{\text{k}}}$$